Person-years lost from Covid BFDW, Day 2, Lecture 3

Joshua R. Goldstein Thomas B. Cassidy

May 2021

イロン イヨン イヨン イヨン 三日

1/16

Our plan

- Life expectancy criticisms
- Remaining person years lost as an alternative
- Mathematics of lost lives and lost life
- Elegance \neq relevance?

Technical problem: ${\mathcal H}$ is not constant

Keyfitz H in Sweden (males)



Populations with different base mortality will see different changes

Substantive problem: isn't e_0 a "misleading indicator"?

In the context of epidemic mortality, life expectancy at birth is a misleading indicator, because it implicitly assumes the epidemic is experienced each year over and over again as a person gets older.

- Goldstein and Lee (2000)

Life expectancy is reciprocal of standardized mortality, with period survivorship as standard.

 $\frac{\int h(a)\ell(a)\,da}{\int \ell(a)\,da}$

Life expectancy is reciprocal of standardized mortality, with period survivorship as standard.

$$rac{\int h(a)\ell(a)\,da}{\int \ell(a)\,da}=\ 1$$

Life expectancy is reciprocal of standardized mortality, with period survivorship as standard.

$$rac{\int h(a)\ell(a)\,da}{\int \ell(a)\,da}=rac{1}{e_0}$$

So with different life tables, we'll have different standard pop $\ell(x)$.

- The members of a population each have some expected future years of life.
- When a crisis kills people, a portion of that future life is lost.
- For a stationary population, Goldstein and Lee (2020) provided a relationship, bringing together e₀,

- The members of a population each have some expected future years of life.
- ▶ When a crisis kills people, a portion of that future life is lost.
- ► For a stationary population, Goldstein and Lee (2020) provided a relationship, bringing together e₀, H,

- The members of a population each have some expected future years of life.
- When a crisis kills people, a portion of that future life is lost.
- For a stationary population, Goldstein and Lee (2020) provided a relationship, bringing together e₀, H, δ, and even

- The members of a population each have some expected future years of life.
- When a crisis kills people, a portion of that future life is lost.
- For a stationary population, Goldstein and Lee (2020) provided a relationship, bringing together e₀, H, δ, and even A₀

Proportion of remaining life lost

Our equation (3) from "cheatsheet"

$$\frac{\Delta\theta_0}{\theta_0}\approx-\frac{\mathcal{H}}{\mathcal{A}_0}\delta,$$

where θ_0 is the number of remaining person-years in the population before the crisis.

Set up

Assume a stationary population and proportional crisis

Person-years remaining before crisis

$$\int {\it N}(a) e(a)\, da = \int {\it B}\ell(a) e(a)\, da$$



$$\int D(a) e(a) \, da = \int B\ell(a) \delta h(a) e(a) \, da$$

Combining, proportion of remaining person years of life:

$$\frac{\Delta\theta_0}{\theta_0} = \frac{\mathsf{PY} \mathsf{ lost}}{\mathsf{PY} \mathsf{ remaining}} = -\delta \frac{\int \ell(a)h(a)e(a)\,da}{\int \ell(a)e(a)\,da}$$



1. Does anyone recognize the numerator?



1. Does anyone recognize the numerator? It's the same as numerator of entropy.



- 1. Does anyone recognize the numerator? It's the same as numerator of entropy.
- 2. How about the denominator?



- 1. Does anyone recognize the numerator? It's the same as numerator of entropy.
- How about the denominator? It turns out it's top of A₀ (Tom, can derive if we have time)

$$\frac{\Delta\theta_0}{\theta_0} = \frac{\mathsf{PY} \mathsf{ lost}}{\mathsf{PY} \mathsf{ remaining}} = -\delta \frac{\int \ell(a)h(a)e(a)\,da}{\int \ell(a)e(a)\,da}$$

- 1. Does anyone recognize the numerator? It's the same as numerator of entropy.
- 2. How about the denominator? It turns out it's top of A_0 (Tom, can derive if we have time) Dividing top and bottom by e_0 gives

$$\frac{\Delta \theta_0}{\theta_0} = \frac{\mathsf{PY} \text{ lost}}{\mathsf{PY} \text{ remaining}} = -\delta \frac{\mathcal{H}}{\mathcal{A}_0}$$

An example

Say
$$\delta = 1/2$$
, $H = 0.15$, $A_0 = 40$
Then,

$$\frac{\Delta \theta_0}{\theta_0} = \frac{\mathsf{PY} \; \mathsf{lost}}{\mathsf{PY} \; \mathsf{remaining}} = -\delta \frac{\mathcal{H}}{\mathcal{A}_0} =$$

An example

Say
$$\delta=1/2,~H=0.15,~A_0=40$$
 Then,

$$\frac{\Delta \theta_0}{\theta_0} = \frac{\text{PY lost}}{\text{PY remaining}} = -\delta \frac{\mathcal{H}}{A_0} = (1/2)(.15)/40$$

An example

Say
$$\delta=1/2,~H=0.15,~A_0=40$$
 Then,

$$\frac{\Delta \theta_0}{\theta_0} = \frac{\mathsf{PY \ lost}}{\mathsf{PY \ remaining}} = -\delta \frac{\mathcal{H}}{\mathcal{A}_0} = (1/2)(.15)/40 < 1/500$$

Why so small, when mortality increased by so much?

$$\frac{\mathsf{PY \ Lost}}{\mathsf{PY \ Remaining}} = \frac{D_{\mathit{crisis}} \cdot \bar{e}(\mathsf{dying})}{N \cdot \bar{e}(\mathsf{living})}$$

Why so small, when mortality increased by so much?

$$\frac{\mathsf{PY \ Lost}}{\mathsf{PY \ Remaining}} = \frac{D_{\mathit{crisis}} \cdot \bar{e}(\mathsf{dying})}{N \cdot \bar{e}(\mathsf{living})} \approx \mathit{CDR}_{\mathit{crisis}} \cdot \frac{10}{40}$$

Why so small, when mortality increased by so much?

$$\frac{\text{PY Lost}}{\text{PY Remaining}} = \frac{D_{crisis} \cdot \bar{e}(\text{dying})}{N \cdot \bar{e}(\text{living})} \approx CDR_{crisis} \cdot \frac{10}{40}$$

So we have 1/4 of a per-capita death rate ..., a very small number. (Mostly, because the base rate of mortality is already small.)

Comparing to baseline (Goldstein and Lee, 2020)

Epidemic deaths (in thousands)



Epidemic deaths / Population size (per thousand)



Life years lost, relative to non-epidemic mortality



Breakout Exercises

Our usual A, B, C (but spiced up with some controversy?)

- A Calculate person years in population
- B Calculate person years lost
- C Compare to our approximation

Discussion

Your questions first

Discussion

Your questions first

- About how many person years were lost per person in the US from Covid in 2020? Multiple years, multiple weeks, ...
- Who's right: Ilya or Josh? (Or neither?)
- How do we think about effect on cohort life expectancy?
- Why did Spanish Flu, HIV, and Opioids result in a larger loss of remaining life?
- Should we adjust for age structure?

Bringing it all back home (Bob Dylan just turned 80!)

Some common threads

- Each measure (CDR, e0, PYR) tried to accomplish something
- Formal analysis simplified and identified key properties and potential problems.
- New problems, new formulations Results discovered 100 years ago are still important today.

A concluding quote

Formal demography

"is nothing more than clear analytic thinking about a demographic problem, with hard-edged concepts, typically distilled into mathematical expressions."

- Ron Lee (2014),